## A breakdown and numbers of submissions received from various channels

| submission channel                       | number of submissions |
|--|-----------------------|
| dedicated website                        | 362                   |
| post                                     | 27                    |
| fax                                      | 4                     |
| email                                    | 93                    |
| telephone                                | 12                    |
| Public Affairs Forum of the Home Affairs | 14                    |
| Bureau                                   |                       |
| Transport Complaints Unit under the      | 3                     |
| Transport Advisory Committee             |                       |
| Total                                    | 515                   |

## Notes:

- 1. The following cases were treated as a single submission:
- identical submissions sent from the same individual / organisation (regardless of whether they were received from the same channel)
- several submissions containing sequential views sent from the same individual / organisation
- 2. Arrangements on the identical submissions sent from the same Internet protocol (IP) address:
- sent continuously: treated as a single submission
- · not sent continuously: treated as separate submissions
- 3. The following submissions were not included in the total numbers of submissions:
- submissions containing strings of meaningless symbols / numerals / alphabets (122 nos.)
- submissions containing only enquiries on the public engagement exercise (6 nos.)
- submissions containing no views and providing the articles of a third party (such as articles or press releases from other organisations / institutions) (16 nos.) [Among them, 1 no. only mentioned traffic congestion situations, 9 nos. discussed overseas ERP experience, 5 nos. discussed ERP concepts and 1 no. quoted the views of a third party]
- 4. Handling of the following special cases:
- We noted that after an online article was published by a member of the public under the name of David M. Webb (who also sent us an email), 14 members of the public provided their submissions to express their support for or quote the views of David M. Webb. Another two members of the public provided their submissions to express their own views in addition to expressing support for the views of David M. Webb. These cases altogether were counted as three submissions.
- A group of elderly people living at the Mid-levels represented by LH Chung provided a submission via our dedicated website. This case was treated as a single submission.
- A group of academics (16 in total) represented by Dr. Timothy D. Hau of the School of Economics and Finance of the University of Hong Kong sent an email to us. This case was treated as a single submission.
- We received a total of 142 emails with identical contents and they were sent in response to an online appeal. These

cases were treated as a single submission.

- Designing Hong Kong submitted a report on an online opinion survey which attracted a total of 375 responses.

  This case was treated as a single submission. A brief summary of the survey report was set out in Annex 3.
- The Lion Rock Institute submitted a report on an opinion survey in which a total of 1 080 persons were interviewed.

  This case was treated as a single submission. A brief summary of the survey report was set out in Annex 3.
- Mr Paul Zimmerman, Southern District Council member, submitted a report on an online opinion survey which
  attracted a total of 135 responses from the residents of the Pokfulam Constituency. This case was treated as a single
  submission. A brief summary of the survey report was set out in Annex 3.
- 13 documents were received during the week after the public engagement exercise was completed. The documents were not treated as submissions received.